

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1457 of 1985

with

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1458 of 1985

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO  
to see the judgements?
  2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
  3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO  
of the judgement?
  4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO  
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution  
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
  5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

-----  
SANKER GREEN LODGING & BOARDING HOUSE

Versus

FIDAUHUSAIN MAHAMADALI TINWALA  
-----

Appearance:

1. Civil Revision Application No. 1457 of 1985  
MR MD PANDYA for Petitioners  
MR MB GANDHI for Respondent No. 1
  2. Civil Revision ApplicationNo 1458 of 1985  
MR MD PANDYA for Petitioners  
MR MB GANDHI for Respondent No. 1
- 

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 28/03/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

At the time of hearing of these civil revision applications, Mr.M.B.Gandhi, learned advocate for the respondent No.1 states that the premises in question is not used by the petitioners and in all probabilities, they must have vacated the same. Mr.Pandya, learned advocate for the petitioners states that, he has written a letter, but it has come back, and therefore, he has not received any instructions on this aspect. In view of the statement of the learned advocate Mr.Gandhi to the effect that the petitions have become infructuous and in view of the aforesaid subsequent event, it is not necessary to decide the aforesaid civil revision applications on merits. In view of that, civil revision applications stand disposed of as having become infructuous. Rule discharged. Interim relief stands vacated. However, liberty is given to the petitioners to revive both these revision applications in case of difficulty by filing a mere note.

\*\*\*\*\*

(pathan)